

## Loperamide: From antidiarrheal to analgesic

Rakesh Kumar, MSc, PhD

### ARTICLE INFO

*Keywords:*  
loperamide  
analgesia  
animal models of pain

*Article history:*  
Received 13 March 2013  
Accepted 19 March 2013  
DOI:10.5055/jom.2013.0172

© 2013 Journal of Opioid Management,  
All Rights Reserved.

### ABSTRACT

*Loperamide, an antidiarrheal drug has been observed to produce analgesia in animal models of pain. However, the exact mechanism underlying loperamide analgesia needs further studies.*

Loperamide is a piperidine derivative opioid ligand that selectively activates peripheral opioid receptors without entering the CNS. Loperamide is commonly used as antidiarrheal agent to treat nonbacterial diarrhea (resulting from gastroenteritis or inflammatory bowel disease). It acts on the  $\mu$ -opioid receptors in the myenteric plexus of the large intestine and inhibits peristalsis of intestinal muscles and reduces gastrocholic reflex.<sup>1</sup> In the last 10 years, experiments involving animal models of pain report loperamide analgesia after systemic<sup>2-5</sup> or intraspinal administration.<sup>6-10</sup>

In the clinical setting, oral route of drug administration is highly desirable. To eventually reach opioid receptors in peripheral tissues, orally administered loperamide must first permeate the intestinal epithelium and enter the blood stream. However, its low absorbance rate from the gut prevents the same. Similarly, it does not cross the blood-brain barrier and even if does cross this barrier, it is immediately pumped out by P-glycoprotein into non-central nervous system (CNS) circulation.<sup>11</sup> Loperamide produces antinociception after systemic and intraspinal administration.<sup>2-10</sup> In the experiments involving animal as a model of acute pain, loperamide is preferentially administered through systemic route. It does not cross the blood brain barrier; therefore, systemic administration does not produce pleasurable side effects like euphoria, which can lead to addiction. Thus,

loperamide appears as one of the choice as opioids. However, its analgesic potential needs to be further examined. Loperamide could produce mild physical dependence during preclinical studies, specifically in mice, rats, and rhesus monkeys after systemic administration.<sup>12,13</sup> Also, the systemic administration requires higher dose, which can invite adverse drug reactions (ADRs). ADRs associated with loperamide include abdominal pain and bloating, nausea, vomiting, and constipation.<sup>14</sup> Furthermore, loperamide may produce rare side effects like paralytic ileus, dizziness, and rashes. Similar effects, if any, after intraspinal administration needs future attention.

The use of regional analgesic technique is associated with lower pain scores than are seen with systemic opioids. Intrathecal drug administration is one such technique where drug is delivered into subarachnoid space, close to the spinal cord. The advantage of intraspinal administration of a drug is to obtain maximum effect with even little quantity of drug.<sup>15</sup> Many studies document the antinociceptive action of loperamide after intraspinal administration.<sup>6-10</sup> Also, studies have shown that a single intrathecal injection of loperamide produced a higher analgesic effect than an equal amount of morphine.<sup>8-10</sup> When administered directly into the CNS, being a lipophilic substance,<sup>16</sup> loperamide would rapidly infiltrate the neighboring nervous

tissue at the site of injection with limited spread of the drug to cranial and caudal levels, which is responsible for the occurrence of side effects like respiratory depression and urinary retention. Loperamide analgesia after intraspinal administration has primarily been observed in animal models of acute pain; however, its potency in chronic pain conditions remains to be examined.

Rakesh Kumar, MSc, PhD, Department of Anatomy, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Ansari Nagar, New Delhi 110029, India.

## REFERENCES

1. Niemegeers CJE, Lenaerts FM, Janssen PAJ: Loperamide, a novel type of antidiarrheal agent. *Drug Res.* 1974; 24: 1633-1653.
2. DeHaven-Hudkins DL, Burgos LC, Cassel JA, et al.: Loperamide (ADL 2-1294), an opioid antihyperalgesic agent with peripheral selectivity. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* 1999; 289: 494-502.
3. Sasaki A, Nakashima Y, Takasaki I, et al.: Effects of loperamide on mechanical allodynia induced by herpes simplex virus type- 1 in mice. *J Pharmacol Sci.* 2007; 104: 218-224.
4. Guan Y, Johaneck LM, Hartke TV, et al.: Peripherally acting mu-opioid receptor agonist attenuates neuropathic pain in rats after L5 spinal nerve injury. *Pain.* 2008; 138: 318-329.
5. Ringkamp M, Tal M, Hartke TV, et al.: Local loperamide injection reduces mechanosensitivity of rat cutaneous, nociceptive C-fibers. *PLoS One* 2012; 7(7): e42105.
6. Hagiwara K, Nakagawasai O, Murata A, et al.: Analgesic action of loperamide, an opioid agonist, and its blocking action on voltage-dependent Ca<sup>2+</sup> channels. *Neurosci Res.* 2003; 46: 493-497.
7. Shannon HE, Lutz EA: Comparison of the peripheral and central effects of the opioid agonists loperamide and morphine in the formalin test in rats. *Neuropharmacol.* 2002; 42: 253-261.
8. Ray SB, Verma D, Wadhwa S: Acute analgesic effect of loperamide as compared to morphine after intrathecal administration in rat. *Indian J Exp Biol.* 2005; 43: 425-429.
9. Ray SB, Yaksh TL: Spinal antinociceptive action of loperamide is mediated by opioid receptors in the formalin test in rats. *Neurosci Lett.* 2008; 448: 260-262.
10. Kumar R, Reeta KH, Ray SB: Antinociceptive effect of intrathecal loperamide: Role of mu-opioid receptor and calcium channels. *Eur J Pharmacol.* 2012; 696(1-3): 77.
11. Choo EF, Kurnik D, Muszkat M, et al.: Differential in vivo sensitivity to inhibition of P-glycoprotein located in lymphocytes, testes, and the blood-brain barrier. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* 2006; 317: 1012-1018.
12. Yanagita T, Miyasato K, Sato J: Dependence potential of loperamide studied in rhesus monkeys. *NIDA Res Monogr.* 1979; 27: 106-113.
13. Nakamura H, Ishii K, Yokoyama Y. et al.: Physical Dependence on Loperamide Hydrochloride in Mice and Rats (in Japanese). *Yakugaku Zasshi.* 1982; 102(11): 1074-1085.
14. Bichner F (ed.): *Australian Medicine Handbook.* Adelaide: Australian Medicine Handbook Pty Ltd ed., 2010.
15. Rathmell JP, Lair TR, Nauman B: The role of intrathecal drugs in the treatment of acute pain. *Anesth Analg.* 2005; 101: S30-S43.
16. Dalwadi G, Sunderland B: An ion pairing approach to increase the loading of hydrophilic and lipophilic drugs into PEGylated PLGA nanoparticles. *Eur J Pharm Biopharm.* 2009; 71: 231-242.